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(1) Symplectic toric manifold
(2) Nestohedron
(3) Computation of Gromov width

## Hamiltonian torus action

- ( $M^{2 n}, \omega$ ): symplectic manifold of dimension $2 n$
- $T^{k} \cong(\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z})^{k}$ : $k$-dimensional torus acting on $M$
- $\mathfrak{t} \cong \mathbb{R}^{k}$ : Lie algebra of $T^{k}$ with dual Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}^{*}$
- $\underline{X}$ : fundamental vector field on $M$ for given $X \in \mathfrak{t}$


## Definition

$T^{k}$-action on $(M, \omega)$ is called Hamiltonian if for each $X \in \mathfrak{t}$ there exists $\mu: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ such that

$$
\omega(-, \underline{X})=d\langle\mu, X\rangle .
$$

Such $\mu$ is called a moment map.
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## Definition

$T^{k}$-action on $(M, \omega)$ is called Hamiltonian if for each $X \in \mathfrak{t}$ there exists $\mu: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ such that

$$
\omega(-, \underline{X})=d\langle\mu, X\rangle
$$

Such $\mu$ is called a moment map.
Fix basis $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}$ of $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{Z}}$. This identifies $\left(\mathfrak{t}^{*}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{*}\right)$ with $\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right)$.
Any quantity should be measured with respect to the lattice.

## Examples of moment maps

(1) $T^{2}$ acts on $\left(\mathbb{R}^{4}, d x_{1} \wedge d y_{1}+d x_{2} \wedge d y_{2}\right)$ by $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \cdot\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right):=\left(e^{2 \pi i t_{1}} z_{1}, e^{2 \pi i t_{2}} z_{2}\right)$.

$$
\mu\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\left(\pi\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}, \pi\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right) .
$$


image is the first quadrant

(2) $\sigma$ : volume form on $S^{2}$ so that $\int_{S^{2}} \sigma=1$. $T^{2}$ acts on ( $S^{2} \times S^{2}, a \sigma+b \sigma$ ) diagonally.


## Delzant theorem

- A symplectic manifold $\left(M^{2 n}, \omega\right)$ equipped with an effective Hamiltonian $T^{n}$-action is called toric.
- In toric case, the image of a moment map $\mu: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a polytope satisfying the following conditions:
(1) There are $n$ edges at each vertex $p$.
(2) The edges at $p$ has the form $p+t u_{i}$
 for some basis vectors $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$.

Such polytopes are called Delzant polytopes.

## Theorem (Delzant)

There is a 1-1 correspondence
\{closed symplectic toric manifolds\} $\leftrightarrow\{$ Delzant polytopes $\}$.

Want: recover geometric data from Delzant polytopes

## Gromov width

## Theorem (Gromov nonsqueezing)

$B^{2 n}(r) \hookrightarrow B^{2}(R) \times \mathbb{R}^{2 n-2}$ symplectically if and only if $r \leq R$.


$$
B^{4}(r)
$$


(Caution: this picture is far from a proof.)

## Definition (Gromov width)

$$
w_{G}\left(M^{2 n}, \omega\right):=\sup \left\{\pi r^{2} \mid B^{2 n}(r) \hookrightarrow(M, \omega) \text { symplectically }\right\} .
$$


$S^{2} \times S^{2}$


Hirzebruch surface $\mathcal{H}_{2}$

These two are different as symplectic toric manifolds, but they are isomorphic as symplectic manifolds.

- Delzant polytope $=$ symplectic structure + torus action $\Longrightarrow$ symplectic data should be independent of the action.
- In these examples, the Gromov width is the height.


## Nestohedron

- A building set $\mathcal{B}$ on $[n+1]$ is a collection of subsets in $[n+1]$ satisfying the following conditions:
(1) Each singleton is an element of $\mathcal{B}$.
(2) $I, J \in \mathcal{B}$ with $I \cap J \neq \emptyset \Longrightarrow I \cup J \in \mathcal{B}$.
- For $I \in \mathcal{B}$, let $\Delta_{I}:=\operatorname{conv}\left\{e_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$.
- The nestohedron $P_{\mathcal{B}}$ is defined to be the Minkowski sum

$$
P_{\mathcal{B}}:=\sum_{I \in \mathcal{B}} \Delta_{l} .
$$

Example $(n=2, \mathcal{B}=\{1,2,3,12,13,23,123\})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{\mathcal{B}} & =e_{1}+e_{2}+e_{3} \\
& +\operatorname{conv}\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}+\operatorname{conv}\left\{e_{1}, e_{3}\right\}+\operatorname{conv}\left\{e_{2}, e_{3}\right\} \\
& +\operatorname{conv}\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Properties

- $P_{\mathcal{B}} \subset\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_{i}=|\mathcal{B}|\right\}$.
- $P_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a Delzant polytope.
- If $[n+1] \in \mathcal{B}$, then $P_{\mathcal{B}}$ is $n$-dimensional.
( $[n+1] \notin \mathcal{B}$, then $P_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a product of smaller nestohedra.)
- $I \subsetneq[n+1]$ defines a facet of $P_{\mathcal{B}}$ :

$$
F_{I} \subset\left\{\sum_{i \in I} x_{i}=|\mathcal{B}|, I\right\}, \quad \text { where }\left.\mathcal{B}\right|_{I}=\{J \in \mathcal{B} \mid J \subset I\}
$$



## Graph associahedron

## Building set from a simple graph

- $G$ : simple graph with vertex set $[n+1]$.
- $\mathcal{B}(G):=\left\{I \subset[n+1] \mid\right.$ the subgraph $\left.G\right|_{\text {I }}$ induced by $/$ is connected\}.
$P_{\mathcal{B}(G)}$ is called a graph associahedron.


$$
\mathcal{B}(G)=\{1,2,3,12,13,23,123\} .
$$


$G=\begin{aligned} & 1 \bullet \\ & 2 \bullet \\ & 3\end{aligned}$

$$
\mathcal{B}(G)=\{1,2,3,12,23,123\} .
$$



## Main theorem

## Theorem

Let $G$ be a simple graph with the vertex set $[n+1]$.
The Gromov width of the symplectic toric manifold for $P_{\mathcal{B}(G)}$ is

$$
\min \left\{k_{i}>1 \mid i=1, \ldots, n+1\right\}-1
$$

where $k_{i}$ is the number of connected induced subgraphs of $G$ containing $i$.

$$
G=\overbrace{2} \quad \begin{aligned}
& k_{1}=k_{2}=k_{3}=4 . \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
G=\begin{array}{ll}
1 \\
2 \bullet \quad & \\
3
\end{array} \quad \not \quad k_{1}=k_{3}=3, k_{2}=4 .
$$



For $I \in \mathcal{B}, F_{I}$ has a parallel facet if and only if $[n+1] \backslash I \in \mathcal{B}$.
When $\mathcal{B}$ is obtained from a graph,
(1) Such $I \in \mathcal{B}$ always exists.
(2) Minimal distance between such facets are attained when I or its complement is a singleton.
(3) $k_{i}-1$ is the distance between $F_{\{i\}}$ and $F_{[n+1] \backslash i j}$.
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$S^{2}\left(\pi R^{2}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{2}$

Distance between parallel facets bounds the Gromov width.

## Stabilized embedding

- Gromov nonsqueezing: $w_{G}\left(S^{2}\left(\pi r^{2}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)=\pi r^{2}$.
- On the other hand, $w_{G}\left(\Sigma_{g}\left(\pi r^{2}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)=\infty$ for $g \geq 1$.

Let $M_{1}, M_{2}$ be symplectic toric manifolds. Is it true that

$$
w_{G}\left(M_{1} \times M_{2}\right)=\min \left\{w_{G}\left(M_{1}\right), w_{G}\left(M_{2}\right)\right\} ?
$$
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- On the other hand, $w_{G}\left(\Sigma_{g}\left(\pi r^{2}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)=\infty$ for $g \geq 1$.

Let $M_{1}, M_{2}$ be symplectic toric manifolds. Is it true that

$$
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For $M_{G}$ constructed from graph $G, w_{G}\left(M_{G} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)=w_{G}\left(M_{G}\right)$.

## Corollary

Let $H$ be a subgraph of $G$. Suppose $k=|G|-|H|>0$. Then

$$
M_{G} \times \mathbb{R}^{2 m} \hookrightarrow M_{H} \times \mathbb{R}^{2 k+2 m}
$$

can never be symplectic for any $m \geq 0$.
Is there a topological obstruction to this embedding?

## Idea of proof

## Theorem

Let $G$ be a simple graph with the vertex set $[n+1]$.
The Gromov width of the symplectic toric manifold for $P_{\mathcal{B}(G)}$ is

$$
\lambda:=\min \left\{k_{i}>1 \mid i=1, \ldots, n+1\right\}-1,
$$

where $k_{i}$ is the number of connected induced subgraphs of $G$ containing $i$.

- (Lower bound) To show $w_{G} \geq \lambda$,
(1) Use global action-angle coordinates given by moment map.
(2) Find some shape (corresponding to a ball) of "size" $\lambda$ inside $P$.
- (Upper bound) To show $w_{G} \leq \lambda$,
(1) Find J-holomorphic sphere with symplectic area $\leq \lambda$.
(2) Use McDuff-Tolman computation on Seidel representation to find suitable nonvanishing Gromov-Witten invariant.
(3) Use semifree circle action with codimension 2 extrema.


## Lower bound




Find $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{n}$ satisfying

- $L_{1} \cap \cdots \cap L_{n}$ is a point.
- Primitive vectors parallel to $L_{i}$ form a basis for $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$.
- $L_{i}$ has affine length $\rho$.

Let $P$ be the moment map image of a symplectic toric manifold.

## Theorem (Mandini-Pabiniak, Latschev-McDuff-Schlenk)

$\diamond^{n}(\rho) \subset P \Longrightarrow w_{G} \geq \rho$.


$$
\diamond^{n}(\rho):=\operatorname{conv}\left(L_{1}, \ldots, L_{n}\right) .
$$

## Lower bound

Let $P$ be the moment map image of a symplectic toric manifold.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Theorem (Mandini-Pabiniak, } \\
& \text { Latschev-McDuff-Schlenk) } \\
& \diamond^{n}(\rho) \subset P \Longrightarrow w_{G} \geq \rho
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\nabla^{n}(\rho):=\operatorname{conv}\left(L_{1}, \ldots, L_{n}\right) .
$$

- $w_{G} \geq 3$.
- $p=(2,2)$
if 3 rd coordinate is ignored.


## Finding $\diamond$ inside $P$

- $G$ : connected simple graph with the vertex set $[n+1]$.
- $\mathcal{B}$ : building set constructed from $G$.
- $k_{i}$ : number of connected induced subgraphs of $G$ containing i .

Assume $k_{n+1}$ is minimal among $k_{i}$.
Regard $P_{\mathcal{B}}$ as a subset in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ by forgetting last coordinate.
Goal: find $\diamond^{n}\left(k_{n+1}-1\right)$ in $P_{\mathcal{B}}$
Take $L_{i}=\left\{(a, \ldots, a, x, a, \ldots, a) \mid 1 \leq x \leq k_{n+1}\right\}$, where

$$
a:=\frac{|\mathcal{B}|-k_{n+1}-1}{n-1}
$$

Checking $L_{i} \subset P_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $1 \leq a \leq k_{n+1}$ reduces to the following.

## Lemma

$$
n \cdot k_{i} \geq|\mathcal{B}|-1 \quad \text { for any } i=1, \ldots, n+1
$$

## Gromov-Witten invariants

(Upper bound) To show $w_{G} \leq \lambda$,
(1) Find J-holomorphic sphere with symplectic area $\leq \lambda$.
(2) Use Seidel representation to find nonzero GW-invariant.
(3) Use semifree circle action with codimension 2 extrema.

The (genus zero) Gromov-Witten invariant

$$
\mathrm{GW}_{A, k}^{M}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{Q}
$$

counts number of $J$-holomorphic spheres in class $A \in H_{2}(M, \mathbb{Z})$, passing through cycles $\alpha_{i} \in H^{*}(M)$.

## Theorem (Gromov)

If $\mathrm{GW}_{A, k}^{M}\left([p t], \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right) \neq 0$ for some $A \in H_{2}(M, \mathbb{Z})$, $\alpha_{i} \in H^{*}(M)$, then the Gromov width of $(M, \omega)$ is at most $\omega(A)>0$.

## Seidel representation

Goal: find $A, \alpha_{i}$ such that $\mathrm{GW}_{A, k}^{M}\left([p t], \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right) \neq 0, \omega(A)=\lambda$.

- The Seidel morphism is a group homomorphism

$$
S: \pi_{1}(\operatorname{Ham}(M, \omega)) \rightarrow\left(Q H^{0}(M ; \Lambda)^{\times}, *\right)
$$

- $Q H^{\bullet}(M ; \Lambda)=H^{\bullet}(M) \otimes \Lambda$ with quantum product $*$.
- $\Lambda=\left\{\sum a_{i} q^{\mu_{i}} t^{\kappa_{i}} \mid \operatorname{deg} q=2, \operatorname{deg} t=0\right.$, some condition $\}$.
- $a * b=\sum_{A \in H_{2}(M, \mathbb{Z})}(a * b)_{A} \otimes q^{c_{1}(A)} t^{\omega(A)}$, where for all $c$,

$$
\int_{M}(a * b)_{A} \cup c=\operatorname{GW}_{A, 3}^{M}(a, b, c)
$$

We obtain information on GW invariants by studying $S$.

## Upper bound

- $u \in \pi_{1}(\operatorname{Ham}(M, \omega))$ is represented by Hamiltonian $S^{1}$-action. $\Longrightarrow-u$ is represented by the opposite $S^{1}$-action.
- $S: \pi_{1}(\operatorname{Ham}(M, \omega)) \rightarrow Q H^{0}(M ; \Lambda)^{\times}$is a homomorphism.
$S(u) * S(-u)=S(u+(-u))=1$.
Therefore, at least one term on the LHS survives.
- McDuff-Tolman developed a way to compute $S(u)$. In general $S(u)$ has infinitely many terms.
$\Longrightarrow$ It is hard to see which term will survive on the LHS.
- If $u$ is a semifree action whose maximum has codimension 2 , some unwanted terms in $S(u)$ vanish.

We can find $A, \alpha_{i}$ such that $\mathrm{GW}_{A, k}^{M}\left([p t], \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right) \neq 0, \omega(A)=\lambda$.
$\Longrightarrow w_{G} \leq \lambda$ by Gromov's theorem.

## Remarks

Let $(M, \omega)$ be a symplectic toric manifold whose moment polytope is $P \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Suppose that there exists a primitive vector $u \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ satisfying the following two conditions.
(1) $\langle u, \eta\rangle \in\{0, \pm 1\}$ for any primitive $\eta$ parallel to an edge of $P$.
(2) $P$ has supporting hyperplanes of the form $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid\langle x, u\rangle \leq \lambda\right\}$ and $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid\langle x, u\rangle \geq \mu\right\}$.
Then the Gromov width of $(M, \omega)$ is at most $\lambda-\mu$.
For general nestohedra,

- (1) is true but (2) is not.
- Even when (2) is true, the minimal distance might not be obtained from a singleton, so the formula will be different.

