On the secondary cohomology of moment-angle complexes (j.w. A.Bahri, T.Panov, J.Song, and D.Stanley)

Ivan Limonchenko

University of Toronto ilimonch@math.toronto.edu

International conference
"Toric Topology 2021 in Osaka"
Osaka City University
Osaka, Japan
March 25, 2021

Motivation: Topological Data Analysis

For this part of the talk we fix a field of coefficients \mathbf{k} .

Definition: Rips complex

Let X be a finite pseudometric space and $U(\varepsilon)$ be its open cover by balls of radius $\varepsilon > 0$ centered at the points of X.

We call the flagification of the nerve of $U(\varepsilon)$ the Rips complex K^{ε} of X for the radius ε .

Motivation: Topological Data Analysis

For this part of the talk we fix a field of coefficients \mathbf{k} .

Definition: Rips complex

Let X be a finite pseudometric space and $U(\varepsilon)$ be its open cover by balls of radius $\varepsilon > 0$ centered at the points of X.

We call the flagification of the nerve of $U(\varepsilon)$ the Rips complex K^{ε} of X for the radius ε .

If a discrete set of times $\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_r,\ldots$ is taken into account, this yields a filtration of the corresponding Rips complexes :

$$K^0 = X \subset K^1 \subset K^2 \subset \ldots$$

where $K^r := K^{\varepsilon_r}$ is a subcomplex in K^{r+1} for all $r \ge 0$.



Persistent homology

Let $\mathcal{K}:=(\mathcal{K}^r)_{r\geq 0}$. The persistent complex of \mathcal{K} is the sequence of simplicial chain complexes $\mathcal{C}:=(\mathcal{C}_*^r)_{r\geq 0}$ with injective chain maps $x\colon \mathcal{C}_*^r\to \mathcal{C}_*^{r+1}$.

Persistent homology

Let $\mathcal{K}:=(\mathcal{K}^r)_{r\geq 0}$. The persistent complex of \mathcal{K} is the sequence of simplicial chain complexes $\mathcal{C}:=(\mathcal{C}^r_*)_{r\geq 0}$ with injective chain maps $x\colon \mathcal{C}^r_*\to \mathcal{C}^{r+1}_*$.

Definition

For $0 \le r < s$, the (r, s)-persistent homology $PH_*^{r \to s}(\mathcal{K})$ is defined by

$$PH_*^{r\to s}(\mathcal{K}) := \operatorname{im}(x_*^{r\to s} : H_*(C_*^r) \to H_*(C_*^s)),$$

where $x^{r o s} \colon \mathit{C}^{r}_{*} o \mathit{C}^{s}_{*}$ is the (s-r)-many compositions of x.

Persistent homology

Let $\mathcal{K}:=(K^r)_{r\geq 0}$. The persistent complex of \mathcal{K} is the sequence of simplicial chain complexes $\mathcal{C}:=(C_*^r)_{r\geq 0}$ with injective chain maps $x\colon C_*^r\to C_*^{r+1}$.

Definition

For $0 \le r < s$, the (r, s)-persistent homology $PH_*^{r \to s}(\mathcal{K})$ is defined by

$$PH_*^{r\to s}(\mathcal{K}) := \operatorname{im}(x_*^{r\to s} \colon H_*(C_*^r) \to H_*(C_*^s)),$$

where $x^{r o s} \colon \mathit{C}^{r}_{*} o \mathit{C}^{s}_{*}$ is the (s-r)-many compositions of x.

A homology class $\alpha \in H_*(C_*^r)$ is said to

- **1** be born at K^r if $\alpha \notin PH_*^{t \to r}(\mathcal{K})$ for all t < r,
- ② die entering K^s if $x_*^{r \to s-1}(\alpha)$ is non-zero in $H_*(C_*^{s-1})$ and $x_*^{r \to s}(\alpha)$ vanishes in $H_*(C_*^s)$.

In this case, the interval [r,s) is called the persistence of $\alpha \in H_*(C_*^r)$.

Barcode

Note that $\mathcal{C}=(\mathcal{C}_*^r)_{r\geq 0}$ is a free $\mathbf{k}[x]$ -module induced from the injective chain maps. Hence, $PH_*(\mathcal{K}):=\bigoplus_{r< s}PH_*^{r\to s}(\mathcal{K})$ is a $\mathbf{k}[x]$ -module and here is the algebraic interpretation of the persistence of a homology class $\alpha\in H_*(\mathcal{C}_*^r;\mathbf{k})$.

Barcode

Note that $\mathcal{C}=(\mathcal{C}_*^r)_{r\geq 0}$ is a free $\mathbf{k}[x]$ -module induced from the injective chain maps. Hence, $PH_*(\mathcal{K}):=\bigoplus_{r< s}PH_*^{r\to s}(\mathcal{K})$ is a $\mathbf{k}[x]$ -module and here is the algebraic interpretation of the persistence of a homology class $\alpha\in H_*(\mathcal{C}_*^r;\mathbf{k})$.

Definition

There is an isomorphism of f.g. modules over k[x]:

$$PH_*(\mathcal{C}) \cong \left(\bigoplus_a t^a \cdot \mathbf{k}[x]\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{b,c} t^b \cdot (\mathbf{k}[x]/t^c \mathbf{k}[x])\right).$$

The barcode is the set of intervals $\{[a; \infty), [b, b+c)\}.$

Barcode

Note that $\mathcal{C}=(\mathcal{C}_*^r)_{r\geq 0}$ is a free $\mathbf{k}[x]$ -module induced from the injective chain maps. Hence, $PH_*(\mathcal{K}):=\bigoplus_{r< s}PH_*^{r\to s}(\mathcal{K})$ is a $\mathbf{k}[x]$ -module and here is the algebraic interpretation of the persistence of a homology class $\alpha\in H_*(\mathcal{C}_*^r;\mathbf{k})$.

Definition

There is an isomorphism of f.g. modules over k[x]:

$$PH_*(C) \cong \left(\bigoplus_a t^a \cdot \mathbf{k}[x]\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{b,c} t^b \cdot (\mathbf{k}[x]/t^c \mathbf{k}[x])\right).$$

The barcode is the set of intervals $\{[a; \infty), [b, b+c)\}.$

The free part above represents the homology generators which are born at K^a and persist for all the future parameters. The torsion part above corresponds to the homology generators whose persistences are [b, b+c).

Persistent homology and toric topology

Observe that for a filtration of Rips complexes

$$K^0 = X \subset K^1 \subset K^2 \subset \ldots,$$

one has:

$$H^{-i,2j}(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}^r})\congigoplus_{\substack{J\subset [m]\ |J|=j}}\widetilde{H}_{j-i-1}(\mathcal{K}_J^r), \quad ext{for each } r\geq 0$$

and the following sequence of mappings:

$$\mathcal{Z}_{K^1} o \mathcal{Z}_{K^2} o \cdots o \mathcal{Z}_{K^n} o \cdots$$

induced by the embeddings of simplicial complexes $K^r \hookrightarrow K^{r+1}$.



Bigraded barcode

Set
$$H^*(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}) := \bigoplus H_*(\mathcal{Z}_{K^r}) \cong \bigoplus \widetilde{H}_*(K_J^r)$$
.

Definition

There is an isomorphism of f.g. modules over k[x]:

$$H^*(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}) \cong \left(\bigoplus_{A} t^A \cdot \mathbf{k}[x]\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{B,C} t^B \cdot \left(\mathbf{k}[x]/t^C \mathbf{k}[x]\right)\right)$$

We define the bigraded barcode BB(X) for the Rips filtration complex \mathcal{K} of a pseudometric space X to be the set of intervals: $\{[A;\infty),[B,B+C)\}.$

For each dimension d one gets a barcode $BB_d(X)$ if one considers the part of $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}})$ consisting of simplicial homology classes of dimension d.



Cohomology of \mathcal{Z}_K and the doubling operation

The bigraded barcode $BB_d(X)$ has certain nice properties, however only a weaker version of the so called *stability theorem* holds for it. One of the reasons is that ordinary (co)homology of a moment-angle complex is **not** invariant under the doubling of a vertex operation.

Cohomology of \mathcal{Z}_K and the doubling operation

The bigraded barcode $BB_d(X)$ has certain nice properties, however only a weaker version of the so called *stability theorem* holds for it. One of the reasons is that ordinary (co)homology of a moment-angle complex is **not** invariant under the doubling of a vertex operation.

Definition

Suppose K is a simplicial complex on $[m] = \{1, 2, ..., m\}$, $\{v\} \in K$. We call the double of K at v the simplicial complex K^v on $[m] \sqcup w$, whose elements are simplices of K and for each $v \in \sigma \in K$: $\sigma \cup w$ and $(\sigma - v) \cup w$ are also in K^v .

Cohomology of \mathcal{Z}_K and the doubling operation

The bigraded barcode $BB_d(X)$ has certain nice properties, however only a weaker version of the so called *stability theorem* holds for it. One of the reasons is that ordinary (co)homology of a moment-angle complex is **not** invariant under the doubling of a vertex operation.

Definition

Suppose K is a simplicial complex on $[m] = \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$, $\{v\} \in K$. We call the double of K at v the simplicial complex K^v on $[m] \sqcup w$, whose elements are simplices of K and for each $v \in \sigma \in K$: $\sigma \cup w$ and $(\sigma - v) \cup w$ are also in K^v .

Note that if $X' = X \sqcup x'$ and d(x, x') = 0 for some $x \in X$, then a Rips complex K' of X' is a flagification of K^x .



Cohomology of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}$ and the doubling operation

The bigraded barcode $BB_d(X)$ has certain nice properties, however only a weaker version of the so called *stability theorem* holds for it. One of the reasons is that ordinary (co)homology of a moment-angle complex is **not** invariant under the doubling of a vertex operation.

Definition

Suppose K is a simplicial complex on $[m] = \{1, 2, ..., m\}$, $\{v\} \in K$. We call the double of K at v the simplicial complex K^v on $[m] \sqcup w$, whose elements are simplices of K and for each $v \in \sigma \in K$: $\sigma \cup w$ and $(\sigma - v) \cup w$ are also in K^v .

Note that if $X' = X \sqcup x'$ and d(x, x') = 0 for some $x \in X$, then a Rips complex K' of X' is a flagification of K^{\times} .

Now we are going to introduce the secondary cohomology $HH^*(\mathcal{Z}_K)$ of \mathcal{Z}_K , which turns out to be invariant under the doubling operation.



Recall that $H_p(\mathcal{Z}_K) \cong \sum_{I \subset [m]} \widetilde{H}_{p-|I|-1}(K_I)$. Given $j \in [m] \setminus I$, define the homomorphism

$$\phi_{p;I,j}\colon \widetilde{H}_p(K_I)\to \widetilde{H}_p(K_{I\cup\{j\}})$$

induced by the inclusion $K_I \hookrightarrow K_{I \cup \{j\}}$.

Recall that $H_p(\mathcal{Z}_K) \cong \sum_{I \subset [m]} \widetilde{H}_{p-|I|-1}(K_I)$. Given $j \in [m] \setminus I$, define the homomorphism

$$\phi_{p;I,j}\colon \widetilde{H}_p(K_I)\to \widetilde{H}_p(K_{I\cup\{j\}})$$

induced by the inclusion $K_I \hookrightarrow K_{I \cup \{j\}}$. Now define

$$\partial_{\rho}' = (-1)^{p+1} \sum_{I \subset [m], j \in [m] \setminus I} \varepsilon(j, I) \, \phi_{p;I,j},$$

where $\varepsilon(j,I) = (-1)^{\#\{i \in I \colon i < j\}}$. One can check that $(\partial_p')^2 = 0$.



Recall that $H_p(\mathcal{Z}_K) \cong \sum_{I \subset [m]} \widetilde{H}_{p-|I|-1}(K_I)$. Given $j \in [m] \setminus I$, define the homomorphism

$$\phi_{p;I,j}\colon \widetilde{H}_p(K_I)\to \widetilde{H}_p(K_{I\cup\{j\}})$$

induced by the inclusion $K_I \hookrightarrow K_{I \cup \{j\}}$. Now define

$$\partial_{p}' = (-1)^{p+1} \sum_{I \subset [m], j \in [m] \setminus I} \varepsilon(j, I) \, \phi_{p;I,j},$$

where $\varepsilon(j,I)=(-1)^{\#\{i\in I\colon i< j\}}$. One can check that $(\partial_p')^2=0$. The extra sign $(-1)^{p+1}$ is chosen so that ∂' together with the simplicial boundary ∂ satisfy the bicomplex relation $\partial\partial'=-\partial'\partial$.



For the cohomological version, given $i \in I$, define

$$\psi_{p;i,l} \colon \widetilde{H}^p(K_l) \to \widetilde{H}^p(K_{l\setminus\{i\}})$$

induced by the inclusion $K_{I\setminus\{i\}}\hookrightarrow K_I$, and

$$d'_{p} = (-1)^{p+1} \sum_{i \in I} (-1)^{\varepsilon(i,I)} \psi_{p;i,I}.$$

For the cohomological version, given $i \in I$, define

$$\psi_{p;i,I} \colon \widetilde{H}^p(K_I) \to \widetilde{H}^p(K_{I\setminus\{i\}})$$

induced by the inclusion $\mathcal{K}_{I\setminus\{i\}}\hookrightarrow\mathcal{K}_{I}$, and

$$d'_{p} = (-1)^{p+1} \sum_{i \in I} (-1)^{\varepsilon(i,I)} \psi_{p;i,I}.$$

We define $d': H^*(\mathcal{Z}_K) \to H^*(\mathcal{Z}_K)$ using the decomposition $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_K) = \bigoplus_{I \subset [m]} \widetilde{H}^*(K_I)$; it acts in the bigraded cohomology of \mathcal{Z}_K as follows:

$$d' \colon H^{-k,2l}(\mathcal{Z}_K) \to H^{-k+1,2l-2}(\mathcal{Z}_K).$$

Definition

The (bigraded) secondary cohomology of \mathcal{Z}_K is

$$HH^*(\mathcal{Z}_K) = H(H^*(\mathcal{Z}_K), d').$$



Recall that $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_K) \cong H^*[\Lambda[u_1, \dots, u_m] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[K], d]$, where $d(u_i) = v_i, d(v_i) = 0$.

Recall that $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_K) \cong H^*[\Lambda[u_1, \dots, u_m] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[K], d]$, where $d(u_i) = v_i, d(v_i) = 0$.

We introduce the second differential d' of bidegree (1,-2) on the Koszul bigraded ring $\Lambda[u_1,\ldots,u_m]\otimes \mathbb{Z}[K]$ by setting

$$d'(u_i)=1, \quad d'(v_j)=0,$$

and extending by the Leibniz rule.

Recall that $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_K) \cong H^*[\Lambda[u_1, \dots, u_m] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[K], d]$, where $d(u_i) = v_i, d(v_i) = 0$.

We introduce the second differential d' of bidegree (1,-2) on the Koszul bigraded ring $\Lambda[u_1,\ldots,u_m]\otimes \mathbb{Z}[K]$ by setting

$$d'(u_i)=1, \quad d'(v_j)=0,$$

and extending by the Leibniz rule.

Explicitly, the differential d' is defined on square-free monomials $u_J v_I$ by

$$d'(u_Jv_I)=\sum_{i\in J}\varepsilon(j,J)u_{J\setminus\{j\}}v_I,\quad d'(v_I)=0.$$



It turns out that with d and d' defined above, $(\Lambda[u_1,\ldots,u_m]\otimes \mathbb{Z}[K],d,d')$ is a bicomplex, that is, d and d' satisfy dd'=-d'd.

It turns out that with d and d' defined above, $(\Lambda[u_1,\ldots,u_m]\otimes \mathbb{Z}[K],d,d')$ is a bicomplex, that is, d and d' satisfy dd'=-d'd.

Theorem

The secondary cohomology $HH^*(\mathcal{Z}_K)$ is isomorphic to the first double cohomology of the bicomplex $(\Lambda[u_1,\ldots,u_m]\otimes \mathbb{Z}[K],d,d')$:

$$HH^*(\mathcal{Z}_K) \cong H(H(\Lambda[u_1,\ldots,u_m]\otimes \mathbb{Z}[K],d),d').$$

It follows that $HH(\mathcal{Z}_K)$ is a combinatorial invariant of K.



Secondary cohomology and the doubling operation

Theorem

Let K^{ν} be the double of a simplicial complex K at its vertex ν . Then

$$HH(\mathcal{Z}_K)\cong HH(\mathcal{Z}_{K^{\vee}}).$$

Secondary cohomology and the doubling operation

Theorem

Let K^{ν} be the double of a simplicial complex K at its vertex ν . Then

$$HH(\mathcal{Z}_K)\cong HH(\mathcal{Z}_{K^{\vee}}).$$

The idea behind the proof is to show that the chain map $\phi \colon CH(\mathcal{Z}_{K^{\nu}}) \cong \bigoplus_{I \subset [m] \cup w} \widetilde{H}(K_I^{\nu}) \to CH(\mathcal{Z}_K) \cong \bigoplus_{I \subset [m]} \widetilde{H}(K_I),$ determined by the property that for $\alpha \in \widetilde{H}(K_I^{\nu})$

$$\phi(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 0 & w \in I \\ \alpha & w \notin I \end{cases}$$

is a weak equivalence.



Secondary cohomology and the doubling operation

Theorem

Let K^{ν} be the double of a simplicial complex K at its vertex ν . Then

$$HH(\mathcal{Z}_K)\cong HH(\mathcal{Z}_{K^{\vee}}).$$

The idea behind the proof is to show that the chain map $\phi \colon CH(\mathcal{Z}_{K^{\nu}}) \cong \bigoplus_{I \subset [m] \cup w} \widetilde{H}(K_I^{\nu}) \to CH(\mathcal{Z}_K) \cong \bigoplus_{I \subset [m]} \widetilde{H}(K_I)$, determined by the property that for $\alpha \in \widetilde{H}(K_I^{\nu})$

$$\phi(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 0 & w \in I \\ \alpha & w \notin I \end{cases}$$

is a weak equivalence.

For $HH(\mathcal{Z}_K)$ we are able to define the barcode and show the stability theorem holds for it.



First examples

The (m-1)-simplex

For a simplicial complex K without ghost vertices, the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) all full subcomplexes of K are acyclic;
- (b) $K = \Delta^{m-1}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_K = (D^2)^m$;
- (c) \mathcal{Z}_K is acyclic;
- (d) $HH^*(\mathcal{Z}_K) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

First examples

The (m-1)-simplex

For a simplicial complex K without ghost vertices, the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) all full subcomplexes of K are acyclic;
- (b) $K = \Delta^{m-1}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_K = (D^2)^m$;
- (c) \mathcal{Z}_K is acyclic;
- (d) $HH^*(\mathcal{Z}_K) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

The boundary of an (m-1)-simplex

Let $K = \partial \Delta^{m-1}$. The only non-trivial cohomology groups are

$$H^0(\mathcal{Z}_K) = H^{0,0}(\mathcal{Z}_K) \cong \mathbb{Z}\langle [1] \rangle,$$

$$H^{2m-1}(\mathcal{Z}_K) = H^{-1,2m}(\mathcal{Z}_K) \cong \mathbb{Z}\langle [u_1v_2v_3\cdots v_m]\rangle$$

The differential d'=0 on $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_K)$, and $HH^*(\mathcal{Z}_K)=H^*(\mathcal{Z}_K)$.

The boundary of a 4-gon

Let K be the boundary of a square labeled clockwisely. Then $\mathcal{Z}_K \cong S^3 \times S^3$ and its non-trivial cohomology groups are

$$H^0(\mathcal{Z}_K) = H^{0,0}(\mathcal{Z}_K) \cong \mathbb{Z}\langle 1 \rangle,$$

 $H^3(\mathcal{Z}_K) = H^{-1,4}(\mathcal{Z}_K) \cong \mathbb{Z}\langle [u_1v_3], [u_2v_4] \rangle,$
 $H^6(\mathcal{Z}_K) = H^{-2,8}(\mathcal{Z}_K) \cong \mathbb{Z}\langle [u_1u_2v_3v_4] \rangle.$

The differential d'=0 on $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_K)$, and we have

$$HH^*(\mathcal{Z}_K) = H^*(\mathcal{Z}_K)$$

in this case.



The boundary of a 5-gon

Let K be the boundary of a pentagon labeled clockwisely. Then $\mathcal{Z}_K\cong (S^3\times S^4)^{\#5}$ and its non-trivial cohomology groups are

$$H^{0}(\mathcal{Z}_{K}) = H^{0,0}(\mathcal{Z}_{K}) \cong \mathbb{Z}\langle 1 \rangle,$$

$$H^{3}(\mathcal{Z}_{K}) = H^{-1,4}(\mathcal{Z}_{K}) \cong \mathbb{Z}\langle [u_{1}v_{3}], [u_{1}v_{4}], [u_{2}v_{4}], [u_{2}v_{5}], [u_{3}v_{5}] \rangle,$$

$$H^{4}(\mathcal{Z}_{K}) = H^{-2,6}(\mathcal{Z}_{K}) \cong \mathbb{Z}\langle [u_{4}u_{5}v_{2}], [u_{2}u_{3}v_{5}], [u_{5}u_{1}v_{3}], [u_{3}u_{4}v_{1}], [u_{1}u_{2}v_{4}] \rangle$$

$$H^{7}(\mathcal{Z}_{K}) = H^{-3,10}(\mathcal{Z}_{K}) \cong \mathbb{Z}\langle [u_{1}u_{2}u_{3}v_{4}v_{5}] \rangle.$$

There is only one non-trivial differential d':

$$0 \longrightarrow H^{-2,6}(\mathcal{Z}_K) \stackrel{d'}{\longrightarrow} H^{-1,4}(\mathcal{Z}_K) \longrightarrow 0$$



The boundary of a 5-gon

It is given on the basis elements by

$$d'[u_4u_5v_2] = [u_5v_2] - [u_4v_2] = [u_2v_5] - [u_2v_4],$$

$$d'[u_2u_3v_5] = [u_3v_5] - [u_2v_5],$$

$$d'[u_5u_1v_3] = [u_1v_3] - [u_5v_3] = [u_1v_3] - [u_3v_5],$$

$$d'[u_3u_4v_1] = [u_4v_1] - [u_3v_1] = [u_1v_4] - [u_1v_3],$$

$$d'[u_1u_2v_4] = [u_2v_4] - [u_1v_4].$$

The corresponding matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

has rank 4 and defines a homomorphism onto a direct summand of \mathbb{Z}^5 .

More examples

It follows that the non-trivial secondary cohomology groups for a pentagon \boldsymbol{K} are

$$HH^{0,0}(\mathcal{Z}_K)\cong HH^{-1,4}(\mathcal{Z}_K)\cong HH^{-2,6}(\mathcal{Z}_K)\cong HH^{-3,10}(\mathcal{Z}_K)\cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

More examples

It follows that the non-trivial secondary cohomology groups for a pentagon \boldsymbol{K} are

$$HH^{0,0}(\mathcal{Z}_K)\cong HH^{-1,4}(\mathcal{Z}_K)\cong HH^{-2,6}(\mathcal{Z}_K)\cong HH^{-3,10}(\mathcal{Z}_K)\cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

The next example shows that $HH^*(\mathcal{Z}_K)$ may have torsion.

The minimal triangulation of $\mathbb{R}P^2$

Let K be the minimal triangulation of $\mathbb{R}P^2$ with 6 vertices. In this case, $H^{-3,12}(\mathcal{Z}_K)=\mathbb{Z}_2$, $H^{-3,10}(\mathcal{Z}_K)=\mathbb{Z}^6$, $H^{-2,8}(\mathcal{Z}_K)=\mathbb{Z}^{15}$, $H^{-1,6}(\mathcal{Z}_K)=\mathbb{Z}^{10}$, $H^{0,0}(\mathcal{Z}_K)=\mathbb{Z}$ and all other bigraded cohomology groups vanish.

Hence, for the differential d' we have

$$0 \xrightarrow{d'} H^{-3,12}(\mathcal{Z}_K) \xrightarrow{d'} 0,$$

$$0 \xrightarrow{d'} H^{-3,10}(\mathcal{Z}_K) \xrightarrow{d'} H^{-2,8}(\mathcal{Z}_K) \xrightarrow{d'} H^{-1,6}(\mathcal{Z}_K) \xrightarrow{d'} 0.$$

The first sequence implies that $HH^{-3,12}(\mathcal{Z}_K)=\mathbb{Z}_2$.

200

Theorem

Let $K' = K \sqcup \{w\}$ for a simplicial complex K. Then,

$$HH^{-k,2\ell}(\mathcal{Z}_{K'}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & (-k,2\ell) = (0,0), \ (-1,4); \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This gives $HH(\mathcal{Z}_K)$ for $K = \{3 \text{ pts}\}, \ \mathcal{Z}_K \simeq (S^3)^{\vee 3} \vee (S^4)^{\vee 2}.$

Theorem

Let $K' = K \sqcup \{w\}$ for a simplicial complex K. Then,

$$HH^{-k,2\ell}(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}'}) = egin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & (-k,2\ell) = (0,0), \ (-1,4); \ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

This gives $HH(\mathcal{Z}_K)$ for $K = \{3 \text{ pts}\}, \mathcal{Z}_K \simeq (S^3)^{\vee 3} \vee (S^4)^{\vee 2}$.

Theorem

Let K be a simplicial complex on [m] s.t. $K_{[s]} = \Delta^{s-1}$. Let K' be the simplicial complex on [m+1], where we have glued an s-simplex to K by identifying a facet of the simplex with $K_{[s]}$. Then one has

$$HH(\mathcal{Z}_{K'})\cong HH(\mathcal{Z}_L),$$

where $L = K_{[m]-[s]} \sqcup w$.



The last two statements imply the next result.

Theorem (first part)

For a simplicial complex K without a ghost vertex, the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) all full subcomplexes of K are homotopy discrete sets of points;
- (b) K is flag and its 1-skeleton $sk^1(K)$ is a chordal graph;
- (c) K can be obtained by iterating the procedure of attaching a simplex along a face, starting from a simplex. Here, by attaching along Ø we mean adding a disjoint simplex.

Theorem (second part)

Moreover, when K is a flag complex, (a), (b), and (c) are equivalent to

- (d) \mathcal{Z}_K is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres;
- (e) K is a Golod complex.

Finally, each of the (a), (b), and (c) implies

(f) Either K is a simplex, or $HH(\mathcal{Z}_K) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!